PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

_	n the ERNATIONAL SEAF	RCHING AU THO	ORITY					
То	:				PCT			
see form PCT/ISA/220				WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)				
				Date of mailing (day/month/year)	see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)			
	olicant's or agent's file research			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below				
	rnational application No. T/IB2005/001312	0.	International filing date (c	lay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 25.05.2004			
Inte B6	International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC B65B65/00, E06B7/23, F16J15/46							
Applicant I.M.A. INDUSTRIA MACCHINE AUTOMATICHE S.P.A.								
1.	This opinion con	ntains in dic ation	ons relating to the follo	owing items:				
				g we we				
		Basis of the opi Priority	nion					
		•	ent of opinion with roas	rd to novelty inven	tivo otop and industrial and the 1999			
	☐ Box No. III— Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. IV— Lack of unity of invention							
	☐ Box No. V	Reasoned state		1(a)(i) with regard to supporting such sta	o novelty, inventive step or industrial atement			
	—	Certain docume						
1	☐ Box No. VII (Certain defects	in the international appl	ication				
	☐ Box No. VIII (Certain <mark>obs</mark> erva	tions on the internation	al application				
2.								
	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.							
	If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.							
	For further options,	, see Form PC1	MSA/220.					
3.								
. .	<u> </u>							





WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2005/001312

-						
-		<u>0x N</u>	o. I Basis of the opinion			
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
		Id	nis opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search nder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).			
2.	2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
	a.	a. type of material:				
			a sequence listing			
			table(s) related to the sequence listing			
	b. format of material:					
			in written format			
			in computer readable form			
	c. time of filing/furnishing:					
			contained in the international application as filed.			
			filed together with the international application in computer readable form.			
			furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
3.		CO	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional bies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.			
4.	Additional comments:					

AP20 RCC OFCT/PTO 04 MAY 2006 International application No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/IB2005/001312

Re Item V.

1 Reference is made to the following document:

D1: WO 00/73608 A (SIEGENIA-FRANK KG; GERSDORF, OLIVER; LOHMANN, CORD; ROTGER STEIGEMANN,) 7 December 2000 (2000-12-07)

2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not inventive in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

Document D1 discloses a separation structure with two separately expandable or contractable tubular ducts, from wich the subject matter of claim 1 differs only in that it has a supply of negative pressure fluid to cause the ducts to contract.

As it is well known in the art to deactivate inflatable seals by applying a negative pressure to the ducts (cf. D2), it would be an obvious design option to the skilled man to apply this negative pressure in the system of D1, in order to reliably retract the ducts.

3 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2, 3, 5

Dependent claims 2, 3, 5 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).

4 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 4, 6

The combination of the features of dependent claims 4, 6 are neither known from, nor rendered obvious by, the available prior art.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2005/001312